System of the control of the Project Component Renewal Application is a solution of the control of the control

electric First (2 points)

Housing First Model Program (12 points total 4 pourts for each "No" answer)

Agency Name:

Project Name:

Project Description- 10 points

The project description should address:

- the target population (1 point) in the tor not following the third (1) not the target population (1) the residents able to be evicted for not following the target population (1) and th
- entire scope of the project (1 point)
- the plan for addressing the identified needs/issues of the CoC target population (2 Points)
- of projected outcomes (2 Points) two of an nollamiliary holds is chivered asswered to be got to
- coordination with other source(s)/partner(s) 4 Points) bun is book that it and a misual of odd in the
- The narrative is expected to describe the project at full operational capacity.
- Two page maximum (10 Points Maximum)

Performance Outcomes - 36 points

<u>Points are awarded as follows:</u> A maximum of 6 points may be awarded. 6 points will be awarded for each outcome that meets or exceeds the current KPI <u>and</u> has the highest score for the project type. If project meets or exceeds the current KPI but is not the project with the highest actual score, 4 Points will be awarded. If the project does not meet or exceed the current KPI but meets or exceeds the CoC project type average score, 2 points will be awarded. If project does not meet either the current KPI or the CoC Average, 0 points will be awarded.

(Applicant will fill in the RRH Project Actual)

Indicator	RRH Standard	RRH Project Actual	CoC RRH Average	Points
Exits to	88% (higher is	% (Please fi	1910.00(180.00)	naich week intel
Permanent	better)		Pomits	11 - 22111 - 2219
Housing				22 AND 1003
Positive or	75% (higher is			SI 3 - 1995 - 1997
Neutral Reasons	better)			9 4 - 2887 - 4897
for Leaving			-23240	9 5 - 3930 - 3903
Program			2000	and other wor
Average Length	270 Days (higher			
of Stay	is better)	e process of the second of the contract of the		
Improvements in	50% (higher is	and the entropy experience in the con-	A CAMPAGE AND A STATE OF	A Branch and Constitution
Income	better)	uction!' If yes, artac	Anna mana atauna	1 1300390 25481 A
Adults Employed	40% (higher is	an Ana seu fr si,ms a	Call Para Scanner as	to the management
at Exit	better)			
Households	90% (families			
Exiting with	only, higher is			
Non-Cash	better)			
Benefits				

Provide any comments about indicators that you want the review committee to consider. (1 Page maximum)

Housing First-12 points

Housing First Model Program (12 points total 4 points for each "No" answer)

- a. Are applicants required to have income prior to admission? Yes/No
- b. Are applicants required to be "clean and sober" or "treatment compliant" prior to admission? Yes/No
- c. Are residents able to be evicted for not following through on their services and/or treatment plan? Yes/No

For any "Yes" answer, provide a short explanation as to what steps your project is taking to align with the "Housing First" model and/or how is your project working on reducing barriers to project entry? Include any challenges your project has in meeting the housing first model. (1 Page Maximum)

Project Cost- 27 points	210,194 d 82100	Story and the Charles
Cost per PH outcome (divide project	budget by total number of persons exiti	ng to PH)
\$ Total Project Budget/I	t meets o. exceeds the contact KPl and in	er cach estenne tha
Least cost per PH outcome in	PH Outcomes a Project category of all applicants (8 Po	dona logica di Lac
	verage cost per Project category (5 Point	
	we as the relation to be seen a little of the	
	t budget by total number of service night	
	Service Nights Provided	nishi fire tubungga
	n Project category of all applicants (8 Po	ints)
	verage cost per service night in Project ca	
Grant draw down percentage:	% (Please fill in grant draw dow	n narcentage)
95% - 100% - 11 Points		ii percentage)
89% - 94% - 8 Points		grizio, i
79% - 88% - 6 Points	ei rongich iter	
69% - 78% - 4 Points	(kind)	Sented Reports
59% - 68% - 2 Points		fir Lenting
49% and below – 0 Points		- 10515-015
1	276 Days (higher	dim il ogaziri.
Client Satisfaction- 1 point		

1. Does agency evaluate client satisfaction? If yes, attach questionnaire and list % of clients participating in the process and the results. If no, why not (one page maximum)?

SCORED CRITERIA

Item	Confy project. The percentinge of least its in alth add. The percenting of the cash mainstream. These cash continued to the cash mainstream.	Maximum Available Score		
1	Program Performance and Client Outcomes	47		
1a	Performance on 2017 San Francisco CoC Performance Measures compared to other similar projects. HUD System Performance Measures 1, 2, 3, 4, 7	17 points.**		
1b	Projects may receive points under any one of the following criteria based on outcomes reported in the APR:			
1b1 ^{%()}	If Permanent Supportive Housing or Services to Persons in PSH project: Percentage of project participants* that achieve housing stability in an operating year, by remaining in permanent housing or exiting to permanent housing, is at least 80%. HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3, 7	10 pts.** 98-100% 9 pts. 94-97.9% 8 pts. 90-93.9% 7 pts. 86-89.9% 6 pts. 82-85.9% 5 pts. 78-81.9% 4 pts. 75-77.9% 3 pts. 72-74.9% 2 pts. 70-71.9% 0 pts. <70%		
1b2	If <i>Transitional Housing, Rapid Rehousing, or Services to Rapid Rehousing project</i> : The percentage of project participants* that achieve housing stability in an operating year, by exiting to permanent housing, is at least 80%. HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3, 7	10 pts.** 90-100% 9 pts. 85-89.9% 8 pts. 80-84.9% 7 pts. 75-79.9% 6 pts. 70-74.9% 5 pts. 65-69.9% 4 pts. 62-64.9% 3 pts. 59-61.9% 2 pts. 55-58.9% 0 pts. <55%		
#8.98- #8.98- 1b3 ₁ #6.08- 1b3 ₁ #6.08- #6.08- #6.08-	If Services-Only Employment project: The percentage of leavers that increase employment income from entry to exit is at least 20%. HUD System Performance Measure 4	10 pts.** 40-100% 9 pts. 35-39.9% 8 pts. 30-34.9% 7 pts. 25-29.9% 6 pts. 20-24.9% 5 pts. 15-19.9% 4 pts. 10-14.9% 3 pts. 7-9.9% 2 pts. 4-6.9% 0 pts. <4%		

based on autournes reported in the APR:

Item	Ain	Maximum Available Score			
slow	If Other Services-Only project : The percentage of leavers in all CoCfunded projects that obtained or maintained non-cash mainstream benefits at project exit is at least 56%.				92-100% . 83-91.9% . 74-82.9% . 65-73.9%
1b4	HUD System Performance Measure 4	sco Coc Perfect unités. des des de la 1887 de 1988 de	ione Prae Centi ion cantar person dono carrillos	6 pts. 5 pts. 4 pts. 3 pts. 2 pts.	. 47-55.9% . 38-46.9% . 29-37.9%
	afte from कुरम्भ र ⁷⁵ ा	of the one of the	ego eznion tylet ai homonar san	1 pts. 0 pts.	
1c	Projects may receive points under any based on outcomes reported in the AF		ing criteria	ič ins	is Parataca
1c1	participants that increase income from 20%. HUD System Performance Measure 4	Francisco - Managines II 2 - 1		Permanent Supportive Housing 10 pts.** 80-100% 9 pts. 60-79.9.9% 8 pts. 45-59.9% 7 pts. 25-44.9% 6 pts. 20-24.9% 5 pts. 15-19.9% 4 pts. 11-14.9% 3 pts. 8-10.9% 2 pts. 5-7.9% 1 pts. 1-4.9% 0 pts. 0%	
0.	If Transitional Housing, Rapid Re- Housing, or Supportive Services Only	Transitional Supportive Housing or Rapid Only - Empl Re-Housing			
1c2	Project: The percentage of leavers that increase income from entry to exit is at least%. HUD System Performance Measure 4	10 pts.** 95-100% 9 pts. 85-94.9% 8 pts. 75-84.9% 6 pts. 54-64.9% 5 pts. 44-53.9% 4 pts. 34-43.9% 3 pts. 24-33.9% 2 pts. 10-23.9% 1 pts. 1-9.9% 0 pts. 09%	9 pts. 80-9 8 pts. 70-7 7 pts. 60-6 6 pts. 50-5 5 pts. 40-4 4 pts. 30-3 3 pts. 20-2 2 pts. 10-1 1 pts. 5-	100% 94.9% 79.9% 69.9% 69.9% 19.9% 19.9% 19.9% 19.9% 19.9%	10 pts.** 95%-100% 9 pts. 80-94.9% 8 pts. 60-79.9% 7 pts. 40-59.9% 6 pts. 30-39.9% 5 pts. 20-29.9% 4 pts. 15-19.9% 3 pts. 10-14.9% 2 pts. 5-9.9% 1 pts. 2-4.9% 0 pts. 0-1.9%
1d	Projects may receive points under any based on outcomes reported in the AP		ing criteria		

CoC Performance Metrics and scoring

Report Period
Agency Name
Agency Program

Total Scor	e	-11 -11
------------	---	---------

Renewal Project Rating Tool - Housing Projects	Project Score Max Points	Project Score
# Clients Served in program		
Length of Stay (from CAPER)		to Colonia
Metric 1 - Average days from project entry to residential move in (from CAPER		1. 1. 17
Not scored in 2017-2018	Lucia somos	
Returns to Homelessness (from SPM)		270.73
Metric 6c.2 -Change in exits to or retention of permanent housing	15	
Scoring 15pt bonus - all renewal projects for excellent CoC performance	The Property	
New or increased income and Earned income (from SPM)		
Metric 4.1 - increase in earned income for adult system stayers	5	
Scoring 10%-15% - 5 pts	4 10 1.46	F.E1 497
5-9% - 3 pts.	1	
5 pt bonus for each 10% over 15%	leger, C.C.75	early May 192 "
Metric 4.2 - increase in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers (% increase)	5	
Scoring 10%-15% - 5 pts		a j sant
5-9% - 3 pts.	6 1	A140 3.11
5 pt bonus for each 10% over 15%		7,13 - 32- 1
Metric 4.4 - increase in earned income for adult system leavers (% increase)	5	= - 1/v
Scoring 10%-15% - 5 pts	property and	7 1 15
5-9% - 3 pts.		
5 pt bonus for each 10% over 15%	1 ==	
Metric 4.5 - increase in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers	5	
Scoring 10%-15% - 5 pts		
5-9% - 3 pts.		
5 pt bonus for each 10% over 15%		
Exits to Permanent Housing (from SPM)		
Metric 7b.2 -percent change in successful exits to or retention of Permanent Housing	25	
Scoring >90% - 25 pts		
80-89% - 20 pts		
70-79% - 15 pts		
65-69% - 10 pts		
<65% - 0 pts		

Serve High Need Populations (from APR)		051 NOTE 2007
Metric - % with 0 income at program entry	10	gy jakotti kilikilin ja kilikili
Scoring >30% - 10 pts		
>15% - 5 pts		
5 pts bonus for each 10% over 30%		A MANAGERIA DE RECORDO COMO COMO PORTO DE PROPERTO DE
Metric - % with more than one disability type	10	
Scoring > 15% - 10 pts	ACCIONAL COMPANIA DE LA CARLO DE LA COMPANIA COM	
>5% - 5 pts		
5 pts bonus for each 10% over 15%		
Metric - % entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10	
Scoring > 35% - 10 pts	Marine de la Company de la Com	
> 25% - 5 pts		and the Control of th
5 pts bonus for each 10% over 35%		en e
Project Effectiveness (CoC criteria)		100
Project has reasonable annual costs per permanent housing exit/retention	10	#44 Park - Park #62 Park Behaliter Consus a constitution (consistence)
Scoring 10 pts for submission of total project budget w/casemanagement &	š į	
Coordinated Entry participation - % of entries from CE referral	N/A	teresti menerat del construir de la principa del principa de la principa del principa de la principa del la p
Scoring N/A for 2017-2018 funding		
Housing First/Low Barrier - CoC review of policies & procedures	10	
Scoring 10 pts for submission of housing first program policy	M	
Follows HUD prioritization policy from HUD Notice CPD-16-11	10	
10 pts for submission of prioritization policy		**************************************
Data Quality (from HMIS DQ reports)	Tylin 164-99 va	
Missing/Refused Data	10	
Scoring <5% - 10 pts	Economic Commission Co	
6-10% - 5 pts		
Data Timeliness- Program Entry	10	
Scoring Greater than 60%< 6 days - 10 pts		(NPP NPP Per Pet NPP NPP NPP NPP NPP NPP NPP NPP NPP NP
40-59% < 6 days -5 pts		(0.44 min 1974 min 1
Data Timeliness- Program Exit	10	⁴ боло («Петобон от «Петобо («Сописания») по постанувания «насинува»
Scoring Greater than 60%<6 days - 10 pts.		
40-59% < 6 days - 5pts		
Total Score	150	

2017 COC Renewal Project Application

The RP will compare projected information from the most recently completed federal reporting year to the information listed below. The RP will take into consideration the different factors for PSH and RRH clients by using the criteria set by your most recently completed project.

HUD Performance Questions	Project Response	Scoring Criteria
1. During HUD FY15, what was the percentage to which this project increased employment and other income for homeless persons? (Average Change in Overall Income)	Enter % from HMIS/CMIS Database Form:	10 points=High 5 points=Medium 0 points=Low
2. During HUD FY15, what was the percentage of persons in the project exited to permanent housing destinations?	Enter % from HMIS/CMIS Database Form:	10 points=High 5 points=Medium 0 points=Low
3. During HUD FY15, what was the percentage of adult persons served who entered your project from the streets or Emergency Shelter?	Enter % from HMIS/CMIS Database Form:	10 points=High 5 points=Medium 0 points=Low
4. During HUD FY15 what percentage of the required data elements were entered into HMIS or comparable database for your project?	Enter the Overall % Complete (from the 0252 Report):	5 points=95-100% 3 points=90-94.99% 0 points=89.9% and below.